

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 200131-1450

MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC, P.A. P.O. Box 37428
Raleigh, NC 27627

In re Application of

CLARK et al

Application No.: 10/572,975 PCT No.: PCT/GB2004/004054

Int. Filing Date: 23 September 2004

Priority Date: 23 September 2003

Attorney Docket No.: 9052-241

For: EXECRETABLE REPORTER

SYSTEMS

DECISION

This is a decision on the papers filed on 10 May 2007 and via facsimile on 01 June 2007 which are treated under 37 CFR 1.181 and 37 CFR 1.42.

BACKGROUND

On 25 April 2007, a decision dismissing applicant's petition under 37 CFR 1.42 was mailed. Applicants were given two months to respond with extensions of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a).

On 10 May 2007, applicants filed several papers including a 7-page declaration and a 3-page Application Data Sheet (ADS).

On 01 June 2007, applicant submitted copies of the papers filed 10 May 2007 and a postcard receipt for those documents.

DISCUSSION

Applicants claim that a response to the decision on petition under 37 CFR 1.42 was mailed with the papers on 10 May 2007. This paper was not located in the file. Moreover, applicants claim that the ADS was five pages long. The ADS in the file is only three pages long.

Petition Under 37 CFR 1.181

Section 503 of the MPEP lists procedures for applicants to ensure receipt of any papers filed in the Office. A postcard receipt which itemizes and properly identifies the papers which are being filed serves as *prima facie* evidence of receipt in the Office of all the items listed thereon on the date stamped thereon by the Office.

Applicants have provided a copy of their date-stamped postcard receipt for the papers submitted 10 May 2007. The receipt clearly identifies the application, attorney docket number, and title. The receipt acknowledges the following documents were received: a Response to Decision on Petition; Declaration (7 pages); and ADS (5 pages). The postcard receipt is stamped by the USPTO as received on 10 May 2007.

Applicants' petition under 37 CFR 1.181 is **GRANTED**.

Applicants have provided *prima facie* evidence that the ADS was five pages and a response to decision was included with the papers filed on 10 May 2007.

Petition Under 37 CFR 1.42

In the decision mailed 25 April 2007, the declaration filed in the international application pursuant to PCT Rule 4.17(iv) was deemed not acceptable because it was unclear in what capacity Ms. Clark signed the declaration for a deceased inventor. Moreover, the declaration failed to provide any information on the deceased inventor.

In the declaration submitted 10 May 2007, Ms. Clark is listed as the legal representative of Anthony John Clark. The information required by 37 CFR 1.497(b)(2) for Ms. Clark is listed on the declaration. Moreover, the ADS records the required information for the deceased inventor.

CONCLUSION

The renewed papers filed under 37 CFR 1.42 are **ACCEPTED**.

Applicants have completed the requirements for acceptance under 35 U.S.C. 371(c). The application has an international filing date of 23 September 2004, under 35 U.S.C. 363, and a 35 U.S.C. 371 date of 10 May 2007.

This application is being forwarded to the United States Designated/Elected Office for further processing in accordance with this decision

James Thomson

Attorney Advisor

Office of PCT Legal Administration

Tel.: (571) 272-3302